

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,981	07/24/2003	Michael P. Burns	576SC [2630.3131.001]	1703
75	90 09/27/2005		EXAM	INER
William H. Francis Reising, Ethington, Barnes, Kisselle, P.C.			CHIESA, RICHARD L	
P.O. Box 4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 4809	99		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/625,981	BURNS, MICHAEL P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 222	August 2005					
	is action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 7-25</u> is/are pending in the a	nnlication					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>7-19 and 25</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 3,4,20,21,23 and 24 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 22</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2005</u> is/are:		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		ian Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure		ed III tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) 						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response To Amendment

(1.) The amendment filed on August 22, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 102/103

- (2.) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- (3.) Claims 3, 20, 21, 23, and 24 are again rejected under 35 USC 102(b) or 35 USC 103(a) over S&W as applied in paragraph 6 on page 3 of the last Office action (dated May 24, 2005). Contrary to applicant's comments, it would appear that S&W does indeed show an air bypass channel (note ref. num. 17, 30, Figure 1) as claimed.
- (4.) Claim 4 is again rejected as unpatentable over S&W in view of Ishii as applied in paragraph 7 on pages 3 and 4 of the last Office action (dated May 24, 2005). Despite applicant's assertion to the contrary, Ishii teaches the well-known use of an air bypass 65 defined by the body of the charge forming apparatus (note Figures 1-6).

Allowable Subject Matter

(5.) Claims 7-19, and 25 are allowed.

SN 10/625,981 3

(6.) Claims 5 and 22 are objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- (7.) Claim 20 would be allowable if: (A) the phrase -- , said fuel metering system comprising a flexible diaphragm positioned between a fuel metering chamber and a dry reference chamber -- was inserted between "region" and the semi-colon on the tenth line; (B) the phrase -- comprising a reference nozzle -- was inserted between "passage" and "communicating" on the eleventh line; (C) the phrase "a dry reference chamber" (line 14) was changed to -- said dry reference chamber --, and (D) the phrase -- by movement of said throttling choke valve toward said reference nozzle -- was inserted between "passage" and the period on the last line.
- (8.) Claim 23 would be allowable if: (A) the phrase -- , said reference passage comprising a reference nozzle -- was inserted between "valve" and the semi-colon on the tenth line, and (B) the phrase -- when said throttling choke valve moves toward said reference nozzle -- was inserted between "wall" and the semi-colon on the twelfth line.

Response To Arguments

(9.) Applicant's arguments filed on August 22, 2005 have been carefully reviewed but are found to be unpersuasive for the reasons explained previously above in paragraphs 3 and 4. Furthermore, applicant's comments relative to claims 20 and 23 would appear to

be irrelevant because the claims do not presently recite that the throttling choke valve moves toward the reference nozzle.

Conclusion

(10.) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

(11.) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1154.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane S. Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1166.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Facsimile correspondence must be transmitted through (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

SN 10/625,981 5

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Chiesa September 20, 2005

> RICHARD L. CHIESA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1724

Richard L. Chiesa

Sept. 20, 2005